Can Holistic Empowerment and Digital Platform Arouse Creative Economy Innovation?

Asia-Pacific Management and Business Application 12 (1) 1-18 ©UB 2023 University of Brawijaya Malang, Indonesia http://apmba.ub.ac.id

Widiya Dewi Anjaningrum^{a*} Wahdiyat Moko^b

^aFaculty of Economic and Business, Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Asia Malang, Indonesia ^bFaculty of Economics and Business, University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia

Abstract

Although many studies investigated the relationship between digital platform capabilities and innovation performance, finding research that simultaneously links the two constructs to holistic empowerment and innovation still needs to be completed. This study examined the relationship between Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment and Digital Platform Capability, Holistic Innovation Capability, and Innovation Performance through a questionnaire survey of 260 creative entrepreneurs in East Java. The results of the PLS-SEM high-level analysis show that the Holistic Empowerment of Creative Industries has a strong impact on digital platform capabilities, and there is a strong partial mediating role of holistic innovation capabilities on the effect of digital platform capabilities on innovation performance. So, it is important for every creative Industry to holistically empower its resources in order to be able to master digital platforms, which ultimately have an impact on innovation and performance. Subsequent research is directed at directly relating the holistic empowerment of the creative Industry to innovation and performance, as well as focusing research subjects on one of the creative economy sub-sectors so that the benefits from research are more striking.

Keywords

Creative Economy, Innovation Performance, Holistic Innovation Capability, Digital Platform Capability, Holistic Empowerment Received: 8 May 2023; Accepted: 6 June 2023; Published Online: 31 August 2023 DOI: 10.21776/ub.apmba.2023.012.01.1

Introduction

After the slump due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Creative Economy (Ekraf) sector has proven capable of being at the forefront of the momentum of national economic revival (Kemenparekraf, 2021). Meanwhile, the East Java Creative declared Economy was a national economic locomotive (Disperindag-Jatim, 2018), even this optimism was manifested in various innovative facilities such as the

Creative Economy Portal or Porekraf and the Millennial Job Center which provide space and opportunities for young freelancers (Prasetyo, 2022). Nevertheless, there are still many challenges that must be faced. The intensive application of advanced technology leads to a digital transition (Kostić, 2018), making every creative Industry in this digital economy era operate in an environment that easily changes quickly with increasingly fierce competition pressures (Anjaningrum, 2021).

The innovation competition is the main form of competition faced by the Creative Economy sector (Anjaningrum & Rudamaga, 2019). Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy (Menparekraf) also encouraged creative entrepreneurs to innovate using digital platforms (Rukendi, 2021). Digital platforms can be influential implementing innovative business in models (Bartczak, 2021). The same thing was also expressed by (Jun et al., 2021), (Khattak, 2022), (Benitez et al., 2022), (Guo et al., 2023), (Wan et al., 2023), which explained that the existence of a high digital capability platform makes the innovation performance of SMEs even higher.

However, digital platform hegemony also provides challenges for the creative industry. SMEs need help in leveraging and developing a strong Digital Platform Capability (DPC) due to limited resources, experience, and funds, which hinder innovation opportunities (Jiang et al. 2023). That is a challenge in itself, empowering the East Java Creative Economy to recover the national economy.

However, psychological empowerment affects innovative behavior (Tanoto & 2019). Industry Sutarhanji. and government need to know how to develop and enhance innovation with a more holistic approach. The industry develops its innovation potential in earnest if the innovation process is carried out properly and product innovations are as expected. The government and business must use a multidimensional approach, to develop continuous innovation. consider all important dimensions in a company, and carefully observe contextual the relationships between the measured factors (Lianto et al., 2021).

Psychological empowerment impacts innovation and enables the early

development of SME performance (Rababah, 2017). Effective entrepreneurship for holistic empowerment (Khan et al., 2022) and the link between empowerment and entrepreneurship in a collective society are proven strong (Wood et al., 2021). Based on Perkins & Zimmerman's empowerment theory, the Empowerment Model is a training and implementation model specifically designed to address many identified barriers (Moran, Gibbs, & Mernin, 2017). Meanwhile, technology empowerment insightful decision-making, enables aspirations, and work to perform optimally. The digitization of information, learning content, and data is critical to realizing this instant access to relevant Knowledge.

Digitalization is necessary to ensure that knowledge workers and seekers are empowered with the right technology to navigate knowledge productively (Pillai, 2019). So that holistically empowering company resources with technological support will produce high innovation. However, it will be a challenge if applied to creative economy-based MSMEs – often referred to as Creative Industries – in East Java, Indonesia, which have many limitations in terms of resources.

This background raises 5 QRs: (1) Does Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment have a major impact on Digital Platform Capability? (2) Does Digital Platform Capability greatly impact Innovation Performance? (3) Does Digital Platform greatly impact Capability Holistic Innovation Capability? (4) Does Holistic Innovation Capability greatly impact Innovation Performance? (5) Is there a mediation role of holistic innovation capability on the impact of digital platform capability on innovation performance?

There are two major contributions from the results of this study. The first provides empirical evidence of the relationship between Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment, Digital Platform Capability, Holistic Innovation Capability,

and Innovation Performance, which is a development of the well-known strategic management theory, Resource-based view of the Firm (RBV), which claims that the main key in achieving competitive advantage and high performance is on the Holistically empowered resource. resources, with the support of Digital Platform Capability, will trigger holistic innovation capabilities and ultimately impact high innovation performance. Second, the results of this study provide new literacy for owners, CEOs, or managers of creative industries in the decision-making process related to improving innovation performance in the form of recommendations for holistic resource empowerment and optimizing digital platform capability.

Literature Review

The Internet, as a technology for the development of the global economy, is seen with great optimism. Developed and continuously promoted, this technology can be seen as a tool for digital hegemony, declaring the control and dominance of developed countries over the world economy (Chandani, 2017). The creative economy is one of the important economic sectors in Indonesia. In the era of the digital economy, the creative economy, especially the application sub-sector, has a big role in the digital transformation process (Anjaningrum, 2021). Every creative Industry has been required to change business operations through sophisticated digital technology since the industrial revolution 4.0, which was accelerated by the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic attack, which paralyzed the economy (Anjaningrum et al., 2021).

Currently, innovative products that can compete in the global market are those that have high innovation, so the ability to innovate is very important for a creative entrepreneur as an actor in the creative economy (Anjaningrum, 2021). In the era of the digital economy, performance achievement is very important for SMEs, and it has been revealed that a digital platform makes innovation performance even higher (Jun et al., 2021).

Digital platforms as new outlets for popular culture have changed traditional ideas (Jin, 2017). Digital transformation is very effective in strengthening the capabilities of creative industries in the digital era, although the process is still not optimal because many industries in East Java are still constrained by budgetary funds and qualified human resources in the fields of IoT and Big Data (Anjaningrum, 2021). Product innovation is an urgent factor that must be increased in competing in local, international national. and markets (Anjaningrum & Rudamaga, 2019).

Information and communication technology at this time can foster a culture innovation for of empowerment. Innovation is about creating something new and taking what already exists, be it a product, process, service, or concept, and then perfecting it (Mourtada, 2010). Innovation and information systems scientists have extensively studied the process of implementing individual innovations that impact innovation outcomes (Pak, Li, & Chung, 2019). Holistic innovation is incremental and collaborative innovation driven by a strategic vision in which the original innovation paradigm fits enterprises' technological innovation management needs (Chen et al., 2018).

An innovation strategy encourages superior quality innovation speed (Chen et al., 2018). The success of an innovation is determined by the company leader (Cheng et al., 2019). The strategy becomes more challenging for countries aiming to radically transform from a resource-based to an innovation and knowledge-based economy achieve sustainable to development (Mohamed et al., 2021).

The relationship between innovation capability and performance has been proven (Rajapathirana & Hui, 2018b). Innovative behavior is very important for maintaining and increasing organizational competitiveness, and it was found that psychological empowerment influences innovative behavior (Tanoto & Sutarhanji, 2019). Industry players and the government must know how to develop and improve CIC (continuous innovation capability) with a more holistic approach. A company must develop its innovation potential in earnest if the innovation process is to be carried out properly and produce the expected innovation results. When good innovation results are not supported by sufficient potential and wellexecuted processes, the sustainability of these results is questionable.

On the other hand, to develop continuous innovation, the government and business people must use a multidimensional approach, important considering all dimensions within а company and carefully observing the contextual relationships between the factors being measured (Lianto et al., 2021). Psychological empowerment directly impacts innovation and enables the initial development of SME performance (Rababah, 2017). Effective entrepreneurship for holistic empowerment (Khan et al., 2022). The relationship empowerment between and entrepreneurship in collective societies is strong (Wood et al., 2021).

Empowerment in the perspective of open innovation is empowerment towards joint participation; often, this also leads to the search for new knowledge management mechanisms (Aneta, 2016). Organizational empowerment relates to the organization's ability to influence societal change for improvement (Sai & Prathap, 2015). In such a way, empowering the creative economy is a process that enables creative entrepreneurs to increase control over creative endeavors using a strategy to achieve high performance.

ICT can foster a culture of innovation for empowerment, especially among youth. Innovation is about creating something new, taking what is already there, and perfecting it (Mourtada, 2010). The Empowerment Model is a training and implementation model specifically designed to address many identified barriers (Moran et al., 2017). Meanwhile, empowerment technology enables insightful decision-making, aspirations, and work to perform optimally. The digitization of information, learning content, and data is critical to realizing instant access to relevant knowledge. Digitalization is necessary to ensure that knowledge workers and seekers are empowered with the right technology to navigate knowledge productively (Pillai, 2019).

These empirical studies showed the relationship between holistic empowerment, digital platforms capability, innovation capability, and innovation Meanwhile, performance. holistic empowerment consists of 3 dimensions: Industry, Knowledge, and Safety (Aneta, 2016). Innovation Capability exists in marketing, process, organization, and product (Rajapathirana & Hui, 2018a). In such a way, this research's conceptual framework is formed, as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Conceptual Framework

Based on empirical studies and the conceptual framework, the research hypotheses include the following:

H1: Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment has a strong impact on increasing Digital Platform Capability

H2: High Digital Platform Capability has a strong impact on increasing Innovation Performance

H3: High Digital Platform Capability has a strong impact on increasing Holistic Innovation Capability

H4: High Holistic Innovation Capability has a strong impact on increasing Innovation Performance

H5: High Digital Platform Capability will have a stronger impact on increasing Innovation Performance if Holistic

Innovation Capability supports it as a mediator

Research Method

This research used a quantitative approach through offline and online questionnaire surveys with a 5-point Likert scale. The research subjects are the owners or managers of creative industries in East Java, Indonesia. A total of 260 samples have been selected through the accidental-

The purposive sampling technique. quantitative data collected was finally processed and analyzed with sophisticated, complex PLS-SEM analysis using the latest version of SmartPLS software 4.0.9.3. Each research variable is measured through several items referring to previous studies but adjusted to the average condition of the creative industries in East Java, such as the Digital Platform Capability variable; in this study, the items regarding private platforms owned by the Industry were excluded. because not all industries already have a private digital platform.

Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) was developed from (Aneta, 2016), namely: from the Industry side (X1) consists of fulfilling responsibilities in a creative business environment (X11). respecting co-workers creative in endeavors (X12), the satisfaction of needs individuals in creative endeavors (X13), cooperation, trust and freedom (X14), and values in terms Shared (X15); of Knowledge (X2) consists of learning, increasing qualifications (X21), improving skills, gaining experience (X22), creativity and entrepreneurship (X23), pro-innovation (X24), decision-making and responsibility (X25), and activity and flexibility (X26); in terms of security (X3) consists of vigilance and firmness (X31), prevention (X32), dynamism (X33), and defensive (X34).

Digital Platform Capability (DPC) (Y1), adapted from the formulation of (Khattak, 2022), consists of Easy access to data through digital platforms (Y11), Digital platforms provide seamless connections between IT systems (Y12), Digital platforms have the ability to exchange realtime information (Y13), Digital platforms make it easy to collect relevant information from databases (Y14), Digital platforms are easy to adapt to include novelties (Y15).

Holistic Innovation Capabilities (Y2) were developed from (Rajapathirana & Hui, 2018a): Marketing Innovation (Y21): the ability to use new media or techniques (Y211), have new sales channels or placements (Y212) and have new delivery Y213). channels (Y212). Product Innovation (Y22): the ability to develop original products (Z221), the ability to increase product value (Y22), the ability to add new elements to products (Y223), and the ability in technical specifications (Y224); Organizational Innovation (Y23): the ability to carry out new business practices (Y231), ability to use new knowledge management systems (Y232), ability to distribute responsibility and decision making (Y233), ability to update external relations (Y234), and ability to update organizational structure (Y235); Process Innovation (Y24): the ability to increase implementation speed (Y241), ability to build operating forms (Y242), ability to perform interactive online processes (Y243), ability to use methods that enable work instructions (Y244), and ability to reduce variable costs (Y245).

Innovation Performance (Y3) was developed from (Rajapathirana & Hui, 2018a): quality of new products or services (Z31), technological competitiveness (Z32), speed of introducing new products or services (Z32), and novelty of new products or services (Z33).

Results

External Model Appraisal

Each item, a latent construct measuring instrument, must be Valid and Reliable. Valid instrument in PLS-SEM if the loading factor value is more than 0.7 and AVE is more than 0.5. The reliable instrument is if Cronbach's Alpha value is more than 0.6 and Composite Reliability is more than 0.8 (Garson, 2016). Figure 2. The PLS-SEM Structural Model explains the many size values. The loading factor value is the value that is in the line between the latent construct and the manifest construct, which is not in brackets, while what is in brackets is the t-statistics value for the external model. Meanwhile, the value on the line of connection between latent constructs, which is not in parentheses, is the value of the standard coefficient, which indicates a positive or negative relationship between exogenous and endogenous latent constructs, and the value in parentheses is the p-value. These two measures will be explained in more detail in the Hypothesis Testing section.

It should be underlined that the PLS-SEM model in Figure 1 contains a second-order model, where the main latent constructs are Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X), Digital Platform Capability (Y1), Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2), and Innovation Performance (Y3) variables.). While Industry (X1), Knowledge (X2), and Safety (X3) are the dimensions of the Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) variable. Marketing Innovation (Y21), Process Innovation (Y22), Organizational Innovation (Y23), and Product Innovation (Y24) are dimensions of the Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2) variable.

Meanwhile, the value shown in the round blue symbol is the R-Square value which will be explained further in the Internal Model Appraisal section.

Based on the loading factor figures shown in Figure 1, all the items measuring the research variables are valid.

Meanwhile, based on the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value in Table 1. It also shows that the research instrument is valid with an AVE value for each latent construct of more than 0.5. Meanwhile, based on Cronbach's Alpha (CA) and Composite Reliability (CR) values, it is also confirmed that the research instrument is reliable with Cronbach's alpha values for each latent construct of more than 0.6 and Composite reliability of more than 0.8.



Figure 2. PLS-SEM Structural-Model Source: SmartPLS-v.4.0.9.3-output (2023)

Table 1. Kenability and Valuity Checking								
		CR	CR					
	CA	(rho_a)	(rho_c)	(AVE)				
Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment								
(X)	0.980	0.981	0.982	0.771				
Industry (X1)	0.948	0.949	0.961	0.830				
Knowledge (X2)	0.952	0.953	0.962	0.807				
Safety (X3)	0.932	0.936	0.949	0.788				
Digital Platform Capability (Y1)	0.934	0.936	0.951	0.795				
Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2)	0.977	0.977	0.979	0.731				
Marketing Innovation (Y21)	0.886	0.888	0.930	0.816				
Process Innovation (Y22)	0.901	0.901	0.931	0.771				
Organizational Innovation (Y23)	0.934	0.935	0.950	0.792				
Product Innovation (Y24)	0.947	0.948	0.960	0.826				
Innovation Performance (Y3)	0.932	0.935	0.951	0.831				

Table 1. Reliability and Validity Checking

Source: SmartPLS-v.4.0.9.3-Output (2023)

Internal Model Appraisal

This section tests the structural model's feasibility through the R-square (R2) and Goodness of Fit (GoF) determination tests. According to (Chin, 1998) in (Hair, et al., 2014), if the R square value is 0.67, then the effect of exogenous latent constructs on endogenous is classified as strong, 0.33 is moderate, and 0.19 is weak.

Based on Figure 1. PLS-SEM Structural-Model. R-square Digital Platform Capability of 0.768 explains that Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment strongly influences 76.8% of Digital Platform Capability. R-square Holistic Innovation Capability of 0.713 explains that Digital Platform Capability strongly influences 71.3% of Holistic Innovation Capability. Rsquare Innovation Performance 0.766 explains that Digital Platform Capability and Holistic Innovation Capability strongly 76.6% of Innovation influence Performance.

Based on the Tenenhaus & Lauro (2005) in Hair et al. (2014) GoF = $\sqrt{AVE \times R^2}$.

GoF Digital Platform Capability = $\sqrt{0.795 \times 0.768} = 0.781$

GoF Holistic Innovation Capability = $\sqrt{0.731 \times 0.713} = 0.722$ GoF Innovation Performance = $\sqrt{0.831 \times 0.766} = 0.798$

If the value is at least 0.38, according to Tenenhaus & Lauro (2005) in Hair et al. (2014) large category. In other words, the formed structural model corresponds to the reality on the ground.

Hypothesis testing

The t-test is a tool for testing the hypothesis in this study. If the t-statistics value is >1.96 and the p-value is < 0.05, the effect of the exogenous construct on the endogenous construct is significant. The original sample value (O), also called the path coefficient, shows the direction of the influence in a positive or negative direction. The output of the SmartPLS software version 4.0.9.3 from the results of the Hypothesis Test can be seen in Figure 1. PLS-SEM Structural-Model and details can be seen in the data in Table 2. Hypotheses Checking.

Based on the conceptual model of Figure 1. and the value of the path coefficient (ρ) in Table 2. or Figure 2., obtained:

Main PLS-SEM models: Path.1: Y1 = 0.876 X + e1 Path.2: Y2 = 0.844 X + e2 Path.3: Y3 = 0.417 Y1 + 0.494 Y2 + e3

X is Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment, Y1 is Digital Platform Capability, Y2 is Holistic Innovation Capability, Y3 is Innovation Performance, and e is error terms.

The first research hypothesis (H1) is supported with a positive path coefficient X to Y1 of 0.876; t-statistics value 31.568 >1.96; p-value 0.000 < 0.05. So, Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment has a strong impact on increasing Digital Platform Capability. Meanwhile, when viewed from a dimension perspective, Industry is the dimension that most strongly reflects Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (t-statistics value 457,700 p-value although and 0,000), the Knowledge dimension (t-statistics value 424,501 and p-value 0,000) and the dimensions safety (t-statistics value 74,182 and p-value 0,000) is no less strong than KnowledgeKnowledge.

Table 2. Hypotheses Checking									
Latent Constructs Relationships	ρ	T statistics	P values	Inference					
Direct Effects									
Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) ->				Positive	H1				
Digital Platform Capability (Y1)	0.876	31.568	0.000	Significant	Supported				
Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) ->				Positive	X2 is the				
Industry (X1)	0.987	457.700	0.000	Significant	dimension				
Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) ->				Positive	that most				
Knowledge (X2)	0.988	424.501	0.000	Significant	closely				
Safety (X3)	0.052	74 182	0.000	Significant	reflects X				
Digital Platform Canability (Y1) -> Innovation	0.952	74.102	0.000	Positive	Н2				
Performance (Y3)	0.417	3,161	0.002	Significant	Supported				
Digital Platform Capability (Y1) -> Holistic	0.117	5.101	0.002	Positive	H3				
Innovation Capability (Y2)	0.844	22.844	0.000	Significant	Supported				
Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2) ->				Positive	H4				
Innovation Performance (Y3)	0.494	3.684	0.000	Significant	Supported				
Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2) ->				Positive					
Marketing Innovation (Y21)	0.936	114.416	0.000	Significant	Y22 is the				
Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2) -> Process	0.070	244.010	0.000	Positive	dimension				
Innovation (Y22)	0.968	244.019	0.000	Significant	that most				
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Holistic innovation Capability (12) ->} \\ \text{Organizational Innovation (V23)} \end{array}$	0.051	85 157	0.000	Significant	closely				
Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2) -> Product	0.951	05.457	0.000	Positive	reflects Y2				
Innovation (Y24)	0.962	210.943	0.000	Significant					
Specific Indirect Effects				0					
					Н5				
Digital Platform Capability (Y1) -> Holistic					Supported				
Innovation Capability (Y2) -> Innovation				Positive	(Partial				
Performance (Y3)	0.417	3.341	0.001	Significant	Mediation)				
					The indirect				
Creative Inductor Helistic Emperation (V)					effect of X				
Creative industry Holistic Empowerment (X) -> Digital Platform Canability ($X1$) > Holistic				Positiva	011 I Z				
Innovation Canability (Y2)	0 740	18 267	0.000	Significant	significant				
innovation cupations (12)	0.7 10	10.207	0.000	Significant	The indirect				
					effect of X				
Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) ->					on Y3				
Digital Platform Capability (Y1) -> Innovation				Positive	through Y1				
Performance (Y3)	0.366	3.106	0.002	Significant	significant				
					Indirect				
					effect of X				
					OII I S				
Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) ->					serial				
Digital Platform Capability (Y1) -> Holistic					mediation				
Innovation Capability (Y2) -> Innovation				Positive	Y1 & Y2				
Performance (Y3)	0.365	3.308	0.001	Significant	significant				
Source: SmartPLS-v.4.0.9.3-Output (2023)									

The second research hypothesis (H2) is supported by a positive Y1 to Y3 path coefficient of 0.417; t-statistics value 3.161> 1.96; p-value 0.000 < 0.05. So, the High Digital Platform Capability strongly impacts increasing Innovation Performance.

The third research hypothesis (H3) is supported by a positive Y1 to Y2 path coefficient of 0.844; t-statistics value 22.844 > 1.96; p-value 0.000 < 0.05. So, the High Digital Platform Capability strongly impacts increasing Holistic Innovation Capability.

The fourth research hypothesis (H4) is supported by a positive Y2 to Y3 path coefficient of 0.494; t-statistics value 3.684> 1.96; p-value 0.000 < 0.05. So, the High Holistic Innovation Capability strongly impacts increasing Innovation Performance.

Meanwhile, for the indirect effect, the fifth research hypothesis (H5) is supported with a positive indirect path coefficient Y1 --> Y2 --> Y3 of 0.417, a t-statistics value of 3.341, and a p-value of 0.001. Because the direct effect of Y1 on Y3 is also significant (H2), the mediation formed is of the type of partial mediation. So, the High Digital Platform Capability will have a stronger increasing impact on Innovation Performance Holistic Innovation if Capability supports it as a mediator.

on the conceptual Based research framework and the output results of the PLS-SEM analysis, it is shown that the indirect effect of Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) on Holistic Innovation Capability (Y2) through Digital Platform Capability (Y1). This relationship is significantly positive with а path coefficient of 0.740, t-statistics 18.267 >1.96, and p-value 0.000 < 0.05. However, the mediation formed cannot be categorized because the model does not directly connect X to Y2. However, it can still be confirmed that the more creative industries empower themselves holistically in terms of Industry, KnowledgeKnowledge, and safety, the higher the Digital Platform Capability, the higher the Holistic Innovation Capability.

The results of the analysis also confirm the indirect effect of Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) on Innovation Performance (Y3) through Digital Platform Capability (Y1). This relationship is significantly positive with a path coefficient of 0.366, t-statistics 3.106 >1.96, and p-value 0.002 < 0.05. The mediation formed cannot be categorized because the model does not directly connect X to Y3. However, it can be justified if the more creative industries empower themselves holistically, the higher the Digital Platform Capability, which will ultimately impact Innovation Performance.

Finally, the results of the analysis also validate the indirect effect of Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment (X) on Innovation Performance (Y3) through Digital Platform Capability (Y1) and Innovation Capability (Y2) Holistic serially, with a path coefficient of 0.365. tstatistics 3,308; p-value 0.001 < 0.05. This result verified that the more creative empower themselves industries holistically, the higher the Digital Platform Capability, which can increase Holistic Innovation Capability and ultimately impact Innovation Performance.

Discussion

Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment and Digital Platform Capability

The research results confirmed the huge impact of the Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment on Digital Platform Capability. The more creative industries empower themselves holistically, in this case, organizational personnel, the higher the capability of the digital platform. Digitalization is necessary to ensure that knowledge workers and seekers are empowered with the right technology to navigate knowledge productively (Pillai, 2019). However, in the context of creative industries in developing countries with limited resources, the capability to use digital platforms is a chore. So that a holistic model of empowering organizational personnel is needed so that they can accept change, learn, and make the most of technology. Empowerment models

can take the form of training and implementation designed to overcome many of the barriers identified within the company (Moran et al., 2017).

So. the Creative Industry Holistic Empowerment referred to in this research is how the creative Industry can holistically empower its resources, especially human resources, to increase digital platform capability. Empowerment can be seen in how every person in the Industry, starting from the owner or CEO, manager, to the lowest subordinates. fulfills their responsibilities, respects co-workers, is satisfied with their own needs, wants to work in collaboration, and has freedom or trust, and upholds shared values.

In addition, in terms of Knowledge, every employee wants to learn to improve qualifications, wants to improve skills and gain experience. wants to increase creativity and entrepreneurship, is proinnovation, dares to make decisions and is responsible for those decisions, and is ready for every activity and has the flexibility adapt to any changes. However, every creative industry personnel must also be safe while still having vigilance and firmness, preventing bad things, and being dynamic in every condition, although sometimes being defensive at reasonable limits. Knowledge is needed to understand digital platforms and their innovations (Deist et al., 2023).

Suppose every person in the creative industry can empower themselves holistically. In that case, it will be very easy for them to accept new knowledge and learn, especially knowledge related to digital platforms. Employees who have high self-empowerment find it easy to access data through digital platforms, understand how digital platforms provide connections across boundaries between IT systems, for example, how social media platforms such as WhatsApp, Instagram, and Facebook are interconnected, can take

advantage of the capabilities of the platform digital to exchange real-time information, able to use digital platforms to collect relevant information, and able to include new things on the digital platforms used which is very important to support innovation.

Concerning empowerment, in this era, it is important to highlight what is known as human resource digital transformation (HRDT). HRDT is not a linear process but requires top management support, a conducive climate, and proper employee developing communication in digital adopting digital and infrastructure architectures to integrate with individuals, capabilities, and creativity to arrive at innovation capabilities throughout the organization (Bansal et al., 2023).

Digital Platform Capability, Holistic Innovation Capability, and Innovation Performance

The study's results validated the partial mediating role of Holistic Innovation Capability in the relationship between Digital Platform Capability and Innovation Performance. The higher the Digital Platform Capability, the higher the Innovation Performance if a high Holistic Innovation Capability supports it.

The results of this study revalidated the findings of (Jun et al., 2021), which divulgel the importance of achieving high performance for SMEs through digital platforms. Digital platforms can be an effective factor in implementing innovative business models (Bartczak, 2021), although the practice for SMEs in developing countries is still not optimal due to limited funds as well as human resources who master IoT and Big Data (Anjaningrum, 2021). Even high-tech companies face significant challenges due to constraints on vital and limited resources (Kamble et al., 2023).

Platform Capability Digital enhances service innovation through knowledge sharing (Wang et al., 2022). However, there are drawbacks; at first, it may be that digital platforms have the potential to provide economic and social value and accessible and transparent data that are important for innovation. However, the need to articulate value to funders and potential partners drives reliance on the quantifiable quality stakeholders that further puts first 2023) (Bustamante. so that digital platforms can sometimes be less profitable. However, digital transformation changes the innovation portfolio when facing changes in the market and technology (Zhang et al., 2023).

Digital transformation is also closely related to innovation performance (Li et al., 2023); companies achieve co-innovation across borders by joining digital platforms (Jiang et al., 2023) by aligning digital capabilities with corporate orientation (Cenamor et al., 2019), which ultimately improves performance (Zhang et al., 2023). Several studies have studied extensively the of implementing process individual innovations that have an impact on innovation outcomes (e.g. (Pak et al., 2019), (Wang et al., 2022), (Borah et al., 2022a), (Borah et al., 2022b), (Kamble et al., 2023), (Z. Zhang et al., 2023), (Wan et al., 2023), and (Yusof et al., 2023), so that holistic innovation needs to be carried out by Industry to achieve high innovation performance as a result of digital platform hegemony.

Holistic innovation includes product, process, organizational, and marketing innovation (Rajapathirana & Hui, 2018a). Product innovation is an urgent factor that in competition must be increased (Anjaningrum & Rudamaga, 2019). An innovation strategy encourages superior quality innovation speed (Chen et al., 2018). The success of an innovation is determined by the company leader (Cheng et al., 2019). The strategy becomes more

challenging for countries aiming to radically transform from a resource-based to an innovation and knowledge-based economy to achieve sustainable performance (Mohamed et al., 2021). The introduction of certain types of innovation with digital tools helps to increase sales (Ganotakis et al., 2023).

Improved innovation performance is only supported if businesses become better able to sense and react to the environment (Guo, Yin, & Liu, 2023a). However, digital competency was found to interfere with the configuration of co-innovation for successful innovation, facilitating the development of efficient vertical and horizontal co-innovation trajectories (Lafuente et al., 2023). So, the innovation emphasized in this research is innovation independently rather than collaboratively. Meanwhile, radical innovation leads to changes in the structure of the sociotechnical system that underlies the pattern, while incremental innovation contributes to maintaining the current structure and pattern (Hanson et al., 2023). Creative industries can choose to carry out radical or incremental innovations, depending on the creative economy sub-sector, which has a different character and available resources.

So, to achieve high innovation performance in this era, creative industries must improve digital platform capability and holistic innovation capability in terms of product, process, organization, and marketing. Capability in marketing innovation means the ability to use new media or techniques, have new sales channels or placements and have new delivery channels. While the capabilities in terms of Product Innovation are the ability to develop original products, the ability to increase product value, the ability to add new elements to products, and the ability in technical specifications. The capabilities in Organizational Innovation include the ability to carry out new business practices, the ability to use new knowledge management systems, the ability to distribute responsibilities and make decisions, the ability to update external and the ability to update relations. organizational structures. Meanwhile, the capabilities in Process Innovation include the ability to increase the speed of implementation. the ability to build operational forms, the ability to carry out interactive online processes, the ability to use methods that enable work instructions. and the ability to reduce variable costs. Suppose the digital platform and holistic innovation capability are high. In that case, it can encourage the quality of new products or services, technological competitiveness, the speed of introducing new products or services, and the novelty of new products or services. However, what remains a chore is how to empower resources holistically, balanced by the budget and support from government regulations.

Conclusion

The study's results validated that the Creative Industry's Holistic Empowerment, regarding the Industry, Knowledge, and Safety dimensions significantly affected Digital Platform Capabilities. Digital Platform Capability also significantly affected Holistic Innovation Capability regarding the marketing, process, organizational, and product innovation dimensions. Digital Platform Capabilities also significantly affected Innovation Performance. Holistic Innovation Capability significantly affected Innovation Performance, so it acted as a partial mediator of the effect of Digital Platform Capability on Innovation Performance.

The research limitations are that it has yet to reveal the direct impact of the Creative Industry's Holistic Empowerment on Innovation Capability and Innovation Performance, so future quantitative research can make this topic an interesting research object, and this research also used the subject of the creative industry in general, not focusing on specific subsectors, so that further research can be focused on one of the creative economy sub-sectors.

Notes on Contributors

Widiya Dewi Anjaningrum is a lecturer at Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Asia Malang, East Java, Indonesia. Since 2017 her research has focused on strategic management, innovation, and entrepreneurship with the subject of study on SMEs and the creative economy. https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/authors/profi le/6103279.

Wahdiyat Moko is a lecturer at Brawijaya University. His research interests are Strategic Management, Entrepreneurship, Marketing Strategic Planning, and Management Information Systems.

References

- Aneta, P. (2016). Empowerment in the Open Innovation Concept. Engineering Management in Production and Services, 8(3), 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1515/emj-2016-0022
- Anjaningrum, W. D. (2021). Strengthening Creative Economy Capabilities in East Java through Digital Transformation and Networks. *The 3th International Conference on Economics and Business*, (1), 38–45. Retrieved from http://jurnal.stiemandala.ac.id/index.php/eproceeding/ article/view/439/410
- Anjaningrum, W. D., Hermawati, A., Yogatama, A. N., & Puji, R. (2021). Creative Industry in the Post-Pandemic Digital Era: Meaningful Incubation, Customer Focus, and High Innovation as Strategies to Compete. International Conference of Economic, Business, and Entrepreneurship (ICEBE), 2–8. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.7-10-2021.2316784

- Anjaningrum, W. D., & Rudamaga, H. (2019). Creative Industry: Enhancing Competitive Advantage and Performance. Pacific Asia Management and **Business** Application, 007(03), 123-146. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.apmba.20 19.007.03.1
- Bansal, A., Panchal, T., Jabeen, F., Kumar, S., & Singh, G. (2023). A study of human resource digital transformation (HRDT): A phenomenon of innovation capability led by digital and individual factors. *Journal of Business Research*, 157(December 2022), 113611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022. 113611
- Bartczak, K. (2021). Digital Technology Platforms as an Innovative Tool for the Implementation of Renewable Energy Sources. *Energies*, 14(23), 1– 18.

https://doi.org/10.3390/en14237877

- Benitez, J., Arenas, A., Castillo, A., & Esteves, J. (2022). Information & Management Impact of digital leadership capability on innovation performance: The role of platform digitization capability. *Information & Management*, 59(2), 103590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2022.103 590
- Borah, P. S., Iqbal, S., & Akhtar, S. (2022a). Linking social media usage and SME's sustainable performance: The role of digital leadership and innovation capabilities. *Technology in Society*, 68(January), 101900. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022 .101900
- Borah, P. S., Iqbal, S., & Akhtar, S. (2022b). Technology in Society Linking social media usage and SME 's sustainable performance : The role of digital leadership and innovation capabilities. *Technology in Society*, 68(October 2021), 101900. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022 .101900

Bustamante, M. J. (2023). Technological Forecasting & Social Change Digital platforms as common goods or economic goods? Constructing the worth of a nascent agricultural data platform. *Technological Forecasting* & *Social Change*, *192*(October 2021), 122549. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.techfore.202

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.202 3.122549

Cenamor, J., Parida, V., & Wincent, J. (2019). How entrepreneurial SMEs compete through digital platforms: The roles of digital platform capability, network capability and ambidexterity. *Journal of Business Research*, *100*(December 2018), 196– 206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.

03.035

- Chandani, S. K. (2017). Digital Hegemony and Digital Divide: Looming Threat and Possible Remedies (with Special Reference to India & USA). In *SSRN Electronic* Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2985717
- Chen, J., Yin, X., & Mei, L. (2018). Holistic Innovation: An Emerging Innovation Paradigm. International Journal of Innovation Studies, 2(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2018.02. 001
- Chen, Z., Huang, S., Liu, C., Min, M., & Zhou, L. (2018). Fit between organizational culture and innovation strategy: Implications for innovation performance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *10*(10), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103378
- Cheng, C., Cao, L., Zhong, H., He, Y., & Qian, J. (2019). The influence of leader encouragement of creativity on innovation speed: Findings from SEM and fsQCA. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), *11*(9), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11092693
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Equation Modeling. In *Modern Methods for Business Research* (pp.

295–336). London: Lawrence Erlbaum associates.

- Deist, M. K., Mcdowell, W. C., & Bouncken, R. B. (2023). Digital units and digital innovation: Balancing fluidity and stability for the Creation, Conversion, and Dissemination of sticky knowledge. *Journal of Business Research*, *161*(February), 113827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023. 113827
- Disperindag-Jatim. (2018). Gathering Industri Kreatif & Potensi Jawa Timur. *Disperindag.Jatimprov.Go.Id*, p. 2018. Retrieved from https://disperindag.jatimprov.go.id/po st/detail?content=gathering-industrikreatif-potensi-jawa- timur%0ADinas
- Ganotakis, P., Angelidou, S., Saridakis, C., Piperopoulos, P., & Dindial, M. (2023). Technological Forecasting & Social Change Innovation, digital technologies, and sales growth during exogenous shocks. **Technological** Å Social Forecasting Change, 193(December 2022). 122656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.202 3.122656
- Garson, G. D. (2016). Partial Least Squares: Regression & Structural Equation Models. In *Statistical Associates Publishing Publishing* (2016 Editi). https://doi.org/10.3726/978-3-0353-0280-6/8
- Guo, R., Yin, H., & Liu, X. (2023a). Coopetition, organizational agility, and innovation performance in digital new ventures. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *111*(May 2022), 143– 157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2

023.04.003 Guo, R., Yin, H., & Liu, X. (2023b).

Guo, R., Yin, H., & Liu, X. (2023b). Coopetition, organizational agility, and innovation performance in digital new ventures. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *111*(April), 143–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2 023.04.003

- Hair, J. J. F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwiesier, V. G. (2014). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) An Emerging Tool in Business Research. *European Business Review*, 26(2), 106–121. https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128
- Hanson, J., Damman, S., & Wardeberg, M. (2023). *Technology in Society Digital innovation* 's contribution to sustainability transitions. 73(July 2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102255
- Jiang, H., Yang, J., & Gai, J. (2023). How digital platform capability affects the innovation performance of SMEs— Evidence from China. *Technology in Society*, 72(May 2022), 102187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022 .102187
- Jin, D. Y. (2017). Digital platform as a double-edged sword: How to interpret cultural flows in the platform era. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 3880–3898.
- Jun, W., Nasir, M. H., Yousaf, Z., Khattak, A., Yasir, M., Javed, A., & Shirazi, S. H. (2021). Innovation Performance in Economy: Digital Does Digital Platform Capability, Improvisation Organizational Capability and Readiness Rreally Matter? European Journal of Innovation Management, (May), 1 - 20.https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-10-2020-0422
- Kamble, S., Rana, N. P., Gupta, S., Belhadi, Sharma, R. A., & (2023).Technological Forecasting & Social Change An effectuation and causation perspective on the role of design thinking practices and digital capabilities platform-based in ventures. Technological Forecasting & Social Change, 193(May), 122646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.202 3.122646

Kemenparekraf. (2021). Ekonomi Kreatif

Jadi Garda Terdepan Pemulihan Ekonomi Nasional. *Kemenparekraf.Go.Id.* Retrieved from https://kemenparekraf.go.id/ragamekonomi-kreatif/Ekonomi-Kreatif-Jadi-Garda-Terdepan-Pemulihan-Ekonomi-Nasional

- Khan, A., Tao, M., & Li, C. (2022). absorption Knowledge capacity's enhance innovation efficacy to performance through big data platform analytics digital and capability. Journal of Innovation and Knowledge. 7(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100 201
- Khan. R. U., Richardson, C., & Spurring Salamzadeh. Y. (2022). competitiveness, social and economic performance of family-owned SMEs through social entrepreneurship; a multi-analytical SEM & ANN perspective. **Technological** Forecasting and Social Change, 122047. 184(June), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.202 2.122047
- Khattak, A. (2022). Hegemony of Digital Platforms, Innovation Culture, and E-Commerce Marketing Capabilities: The Innovation Performance Perspective. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010463
- Kostić, Z. (2018). Innovations and Digital Transformation as a Competition Catalyst. *Ekonomika*, 64(1), 13–23. https://doi.org/10.5937/ekonomika18 01013k
- Lafuente, E., Vaillant, Y., & Rabetino, R. (2023). Technovation Digital disruption of optimal co-innovation configurations. *Technovation*, *125*(May), 102772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation .2023.102772
- Li, S., Gao, L., Han, C., Gupta, B., Alhalabi, W., & Almakdi, S. (2023). Exploring the effect of digital transformation on Firms' innovation

performance. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 8(1), 100317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2023.100 317

- Lianto, B., Dachyar, M., & Soemardi, T. P. (2021). A Holistic Model for Measuring Continuous Innovation Capability of Manufacturing Industry: a Case Study. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-02-2021-0062
- Mohamed, B. H., Ari, I., Al-Sada, M. B. S., & Koç, M. (2021). Strategizing Human Ddevelopment for a Country in Transition from a Resource-based to a Knowledge-based Economy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(24), 1–27.

https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413750

- Moran, T. E., Gibbs, D. C., & Mernin, L. (2017). The Empowerment Model: Turning Barriers Into Possibilities. *Palaestra*, 31(2), 19–27. Retrieved from http://go.galegroup.com.ezproxy.lib.u calgary.ca/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA51 6448471&v=2.1&u=ucalgary&it=r& p=AONE&sw=w
- Mourtada, R. (2010). Innovation Culture for Youth Empowerment in the UAE: The Role of ICT. *Dubai School of Government Policy Brief*, (19). Retrieved from http://www.mbrsg.ae/getattachment/e f8a2000-ca58-47c2-989c-10d7eaa589cd/Innovation-Culturefor-Youth-Empowerment-in-the-UA
- Pak, J., Li, L., & Chung, G. H. (2019). A holistic approach to individual-level innovation implementation. *Innovation: Organization and Management*, 21(4), 552–571. https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.201 9.1632710
- Pillai, L. (2019). Holistic Digital Empowerment. *IEEE India*, 14(4), 132–135.

Prasetyo, D. (2022). Wagub Jatim Emil

Dardak Optimis Ekonomi Kreatif Jatim Terus Bangkit. *Harianmerahputih.Id*. Retrieved from https://harianmerahputih.id/baca-8818-wagub-jatim-emil-dardakoptimis-ekonomi-kreatif-jatim-terusbangkit

- Rababah, N. M. (2017). THE ROLE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT AND INNOVATION ON FIRM PERFORMANCE : AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF SMEs IN SAUDI ARABIA. International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, V(6), 298–318.
- Rajapathirana, R. P. J., & Hui, Y. (2018a). Relationship between innovation capability, innovation type, and firm performance. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, *3*(1), 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2017.06.0 02
- Rajapathirana, R. P. J., & Hui, Y. (2018b). Relationship Between Innovation Capability, Innovation Type, and Firm Performance. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 3(1), 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2017.06.0 02
- Rukendi, C. (2021). Siaran Pers: Menparekraf Dorong Milenial Terus Berinovasi dengan Manfaatkan Platform Digital. Kemenparekraf.Go.Id. Retrieved from https://www.kemenparekraf.go.id/beri ta/Siaran-Pers%3A-Menparekraf-Dorong-Milenial-Terus-Berinovasidengan-Manfaatkan-Platform-Digital
- Sai, T. R., & Prathap, S. (2015). Community empowerment: Holistic approach for sustainable improvements in population health. *Indian Journal of Public Health*, 59(3), 163. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-557x.164647
- Tanoto, S. R., & Sutarhanji, S. (2019). DoesJobInvolvementInfluencePsychologicalEmpowermentand

Innovative Behaviour? *The 4th ICMEM 2019 and The 11th IICIES 2019*, (August), 723–737. Retrieved from

file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Downloads/3 569-6515-3-PB.pdf

- Tenenhaus, M., & Lauro, C. (2005). PLS path modelling ' PLS path modeling. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 48(May), 159–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2004.03 .005
- Wan, Q., Tang, S., & Jiang, Z. (2023). Technovation Does the development of digital technology contribute to the innovation performance of China 's high-tech industry ?. *Technovation*, *124*(February), 102738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation .2023.102738
- Wang, Jianwen, Omar, A. H., Alotaibi, F. M., Daradkeh, Y. I., & Althubiti, S. A. (2022). Business intelligence ability to enhance organizational performance and performance evaluation capabilities by improving data mining systems for competitive advantage. Information Processing and Management, 59(6), 103075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.10 3075
- Wang, Jinglei, Ma, X., Zhao, Y., Zhao, J., & Heydari, M. (2022). Impact of scientific and technological innovation policies on innovation ef fi ciency of high-technology industrial parks e A dual analysis with linear regression and QCA. *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, 6(3), 169–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jija.2022.06

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2022.06. 001

Wood, B. P., Ng, P. Y., & Bastian, B. L. (2021). Hegemonic
Conceptualizations of Empowerment in Entrepreneurship and Their Suitability for Collective Contexts. *Administrative Sciences*, 11(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci110100 28

- Yusof, N. A., Kamal, E. M., Lou, E. C. W., & Kamaruddeen, A. M. (2023). Effects of innovation capability on radical and incremental innovations and business performance relationships. *Journal of Engineering* and Technology Management - JET-M, 67(June 2022), 101726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman. 2022.101726
- Zhang, Y., Ma, X., Pang, J., Xing, H., & Wang, J. (2023). Research in International Business and Finance The impact of digital transformation of manufacturing on corporate performance — The mediating effect

of business model innovation and the moderating effect of innovation capability. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 64(November 2022), 101890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.1 01890

Zhang, Z., Jin, J., Li, S., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Technology in Society Digital transformation of incumbent firms from the perspective of portfolios of innovation. *Technology in Society*, 72(June 2022), 102149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022 .102149